

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 MLC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, LLC,  
8 Plaintiff,  
9 v.  
10 MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC., et al.,  
11 Defendants.

Case No. [14-cv-03657-SI](#)

**ORDER RE: APRIL 19, 2019 HEARING**

12  
13 The Court directs the parties to be prepared to address the following questions at the April  
14 19, 2019 hearing:

- 15 1. In the original claim construction briefing, MLC identified structures for the means plus  
16 function claims, albeit not specific Micron circuits. Are these claim construction  
17 disclosures consistent or inconsistent with the specific structures identified in the Lee  
18 Report for the “programming,” “selecting” and “comparing” processes?
- 19 2. If the Court agrees with Micron that Mr. Lee’s identification of the memory cell as part  
20 of the comparator represents a new theory and therefore should be stricken, what is the  
21 consequence for this case?

22  
23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: April 18, 2019

25   
26 SUSAN ILLSTON  
27 United States District Judge